COVINGTON & BURLING

1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401 TEL 202.662.6000 FAX 202.662.6291 WWW.COV.COM WASHINGTON
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
LONDON
BRUSSELS

February 22, 2005

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45

Ex Parte Notice

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This letter is submitted pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's Rules to provide notice of a permitted oral *ex parte* communication in the above-referenced proceeding. On February 18, 2005, Stuart Polikoff of the Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications Companies (OPASTCO), David Zesiger on behalf of the Independent Telephone and Telecommunications Alliance (ITTA), and Kathleen Wallman and the undersigned on behalf of TDS Telecommunications Corp. (TDS Telecom) met with Scott Bergmann and Barry Ohlson, Legal Advisors to Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein.

The parties discussed issues raised in recent *ex parte* letters concerning the process for designating eligible telecommunications carriers (ETCs) entitled to receive support from the Universal Service Fund. Specifically, we focused on the need for the Commission to establish concrete minimum eligibility criteria and review procedures for ETC applicants in areas served by rural telephone companies, including firm commitments and annual benchmarks for achieving full service coverage throughout the service areas in which the petitioner is seeking ETC designation. These measures are necessary to promote accountability in the Fund and to ensure that universal service support is being used for the purposes intended by Congress. We further

¹ See Ex Parte Letter from Various Associations and Telephone Companies to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CC Docket No. 96-45 (Jan. 28, 2005); Ex Parte Letter from Stuart Polikoff, OPASTCO, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CC Docket No. 96-45, Attachment (Feb. 1, 2005).

² In this regard, we briefly discussed the pending Application for Review of the Order designating Nextel Partners as an ETC in seven states. Application for Review of the Rural Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 96-45 (Sept. 24, 2004) (seeking review of Order, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners Petitions for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Virginia, Nextel Partners of Upstate New York, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New York, CC Docket No. 96-(continued...)

COVINGTON & BURLING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch February 22, 2004 Page 2

discussed the need to develop enforcement procedures pursuant to which ETCs could temporarily be denied funding or could have their designation revoked for failure to comply with the ETC designation criteria and commitments on an ongoing basis.

Please address any questions to the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Mary Newcomer Williams Counsel to TDS Telecom

MaydMwilliam

cc: Mr. Scott Bergmann

Mr. Barry Ohlson

(continued...)

^{45,} DA 04-2667 (rel. Aug. 25, 2004, amended by Erratum rel. Sept. 13, 2004)). We referred to maps, copies of which have previously been filed with the Commission, showing that Nextel Partners fails to provide any meaningful service coverage in most of the TDS Telecom service areas in which Nextel Partners was designated an ETC. *See Ex Parte* Letter from Mary Newcomer Williams, Counsel to TDS Telecom, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CC Docket No. 96-45 (Oct. 27, 2004).